

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
08/477,316	06/07/95	GRAY		J	028723-	060
-			٦	EXAMINER		
		HM1	2/0317	MARON		
R DANNY HUNTINGTON BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS				ART UNI	T PAPE	R NUMBER
PO BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA VA 22313-1404				1634		18
				DATE MAILE	<b>:D:</b> 03/17/	99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

Examiner

Applicant(s)

Application No. 08/477,316

Gray et al.

Group Art Unit **Ardin Marschel** 1634

## Office Action Summary

X Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Dec 30, 1998</u>	·		
☑ This action is FINAL.	•		
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal m in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11			
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire _ is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to response application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time 37 CFR 1.136(a).	d within the period for response will cause the		
Disposition of Claims			
	is/are pending in the application.		
O <del>≨ the abox</del> e, €laim(s) 2-47 and 49 have been canceled.	eistare withdrawn from Consideration.		
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.		
	is/are rejected.		
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.		
☐ Claims are	subject to restriction or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review,	PTO-948.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by	the Examiner.		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is	□approved □disapproved.		
$\square$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·		
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).		
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the prior	rity documents have been		
received.			
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)			
received in this national stage application from the Internation	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
*Certified copies not received:	DE II C.C. 5.410(a)		
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 3	55 U.S.C. 9 119(e).		
Attachment(s)			
□ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892			
<ul><li>☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).</li><li>☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413</li></ul>	<del></del>		
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948			
□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152			
CEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLIO	DWING PAGES		
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLO	/VIIIVG FAGES		

Applicants' arguments, filed 12/30/98, have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either reiterated or newly applied. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 C.F.R. § 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. § 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).

Claims 1, 48, and 50-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weissman et al., taken in view of Lichter et al. and Croco.

This rejection is maintained and reiterated from the previous office action, mailed 6/30/98, and necessitated by amendment regarding newly added claims. Applicants argue that Weissman et al. does not discuss interphase target practice but rather only metaphase detection. The equivalence of detection of metaphase and interphase target practice is given in Lichter et al. in the abstract via the detection of aberrations in chromosome 21 either in metaphase or interphase targets. This motivates and gives a reasonable expectation of success for interphase target detection as instantly claimed. Applicants further argue that priority to earlier parents should be given based on generic chromosomal detection disclosure in the parents. Such generic chromosomal detection lacks the specific citation of chromosomes 3 and 17 and particular functional embodiments and therefore priority is deemed to be lacking in said parents.

No claim is allowed.

Applicants' amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See M.P.E.P. § 706.07(a). Applicants are reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL

Art Unit: 1634

ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Since the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(r) for a first submission subsequent to a final rejection has been previously paid, applicant, under 37 CFR 1.129(a), is entitled to have a second submission entered and considered on the merits if, prior to abandonment, the second submission and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(r) are filed prior to the filing of an appeal brief under 37 CFR 1.192. Upon the timely filing of a second submission and the appropriate fee for a large entity under 37 CFR 1.17(r), the finality of the previous Office action will be withdrawn. In view of 35 U.S.C. 132, no amendment considered as a result of payment of the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(r) may introduce new matter into the disclosure of the application.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (See 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The CM1 Fax Center number is either (703) 305-3014 or (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ardin Marschel, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 308-3894. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8 A.M.

Serial No. 08/477,316 - 5 - Art Unit: 1634

to 4 P.M

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, W. Gary Jones, can be reached on (703) 308-1152.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Chemical Matrix receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

March 12, 1999

ARDIN H. MARSCHEL PRIMARY EXAMINER

Astin W. Marshel